

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

I hereby certify that this transmittal of the below described document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope bearing First Class Postage and addressed to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, D.C., 20231, on the below date of deposit:			
Date of Deposit:	12/10/02	Name of Person Making the Deposit:	ANDREA MARTINEZ
		Signature of the Person Making the Deposit:	<i>Andrea Martinez</i>

In re Application of: Lee et al.

Serial No.: 09/588,115

Examiner: Roy, S.

Filed: 05/31/00

Art Unit: 2879

For: MULTILAYER ELECTRODE STRUCTURE AND METHOD FOR FORMING MULTILAYER ELECTRODE STRUCTURE FOR A FLAT PANEL DISPLAY DEVICE

**Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231****AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL**

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application

☒ Transmitted herewith is a response to an office action for the above identified patent application.
(8 sheets)
Transmitted herewith are _____ sheets of substitute formal drawings.
Other: _____

2. Applicant is other than a small entity

Extension of Term

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136 apply.

(a) ☐ Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)-(d) for the total number of months checked below:)

<u>Extension</u>	<u>Fee</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> one month	\$110.00
<input type="checkbox"/> two months	\$400.00
<input type="checkbox"/> three months	\$920.00
<input type="checkbox"/> four months	\$1,960.00

Fee \$ _____

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(b) ☒ Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

F Calculation

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

(for other than a small entity)					
Fee Items	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number of Claims Previously Paid For	Present Extra Claims	Fee Rate	Total
Total Claims	13	- 54 =	0	x \$18.00	0.00
Independent Claims	2	- 6 =	0	x \$80.00	0.00
Multiple Dependent Claim Fee (one or more, first added by this amendment)				\$260.00	0.00
Total Fees					0.00

PAYMENT OF FEES


5. The full fee due in connection with this communication is provided as follows:
- ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No.: 23-0085.
A duplicate copy of this authorization is enclosed.
- ☐ A check in the amount of \$
- ☐ Charge any fees required or credit any overpayments associated with this filing to Deposit Account No.: 23-0085.

Please direct all correspondence concerning the above-identified application to the following address:

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP
Two North Market Street, Third Floor
San Jose, California 95113
(408) 938-9060

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 10 DECEMBER 2002

By: 
Lin O. Hsu
Reg. No. 46,315



#12 / Amdt B (NE)
12/23/02
C. Moore

In re Application of:)
)
Lee et al.) Examiner: Roy, S.
)
Serial No.: 09/588,115) Art Unit: 2879
)
Filed: May 31, 2000)
)
For: MULTILAYER ELECTRODE)
STRUCTURE AND METHOD FOR)
FORMING MULTILAYER)
ELECTRODE STRUCTURE FOR A)
FLAT PANEL DISPLAY DEVICE)

Assistant Commissioner of Patents
and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231
Box AF

RECEIVED
DEC 18 2002
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

AMENDMENTS AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Dear Madam:

In response to the Office Action mailed September 10, 2002, Applicants respectfully submit the following amendments and responses to the above captioned patent application. Re-examination of the above captioned patent application is respectfully requested.

TELEPHONE CONFERENCE

On December 10, 2002, Applicant's attorney Lin C. Hsu, and Examiner Roy conducted a telephone conference. During the telephone conference, Mr. Hsu and Examiner Roy discussed the present Application, the present Office Action, the cited

CDST-C130-1P/JPW/LCH
Examiner: Roy, S.

1 Serial No.: 09/588,115
Group Art Unit: 2879

references, and the present Claims. Specifically, Mr. Hsu pointed out to Examiner Roy that the prior art references do not disclose a metal alloy layer that includes neodymium having a concentration of greater than three percent. Examiner Roy indicated that an after final amendment regarding the concentration of neodymium would be considered.

Applicants wish to thank Examiner Roy for taking time for the telephone conference.